1 2 3 4		MINUTES OF THE REGULAR PINOLE PLANNING COMMISSION
5 6 7		October 24, 2016
8 9	A.	CALL TO ORDER: 7:06 P.M.
10	B.	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE AND ROLL CALL:
12 13 14		Commissioners Present: Brooks, Martinez-Rubin, Tave, Thompson, Wong, Chair Kurrent
15 16		Commissioners Absent: Hartley
17 18		Staff Present: Winston Rhodes, Planning Manager
19 20	C.	CITIZENS TO BE HEARD:
21 22		There were no citizens to be heard.
23 24	D.	CONSENT CALENDAR:
25 26		Planning Commission Meeting Minutes from September 12, 2016
27 28		<ol> <li>Planning Commission Meeting Minutes from September 26, 2016</li> </ol>
29 30 31		<b>MOTION</b> to approve the minutes from the Planning Commission meeting of September 12, 2016, as submitted.
32 33 34 35		MOTION: Martinez-Rubin SECONDED: Thompson APPROVED: 6-0-1 ABSENT: Hartley
36 37 38		<b>MOTION</b> to approve the minutes of the Planning Commission meeting of September 26, 2016, as submitted.
39 40 41		MOTION: Thompson SECONDED: Wong APPROVED: 4-0-2-1 ABSTAIN: Martinez-Rubin, Tave ABSENT: Hartley
42 43 44	E.	PUBLIC HEARINGS:

## 1. DR 16-20: Sitaula Single Family Residence

**Request:** Consideration of a design review request to develop an

approximately 2,948 square foot residence.

**Applicant**: John W. Smith

1801 Blossomwood Lane

Tracy, CA 95376

**Location:** 1431 Nob Hill Avenue, APN 402-090-010

**Project Staff:** Winston Rhodes, Planning Manager

Planning Manager Winston Rhodes presented the staff report dated October 24, 2016, and recommended the Planning Commission adopt a Resolution, approving DR 16-20, subject to conditions.

Responding to the Commission, Mr. Rhodes clarified a shadow study had not been prepared but could be added as a condition of approval to ensure the solar panels for property located to the east at 1457 Nob Hill Avenue were not blocked; State law restricted the City's ability to regulate the installation of solar panels but encouraged the installation of solar panels, which were included in the City's Design Guidelines; the application had been publicly noticed as required and no one from 1457 Nob Hill Avenue had come forward; and a previous application for the subject lot had been conditionally approved by the Planning Commission in 2010, but had not been pursued and had ultimately expired.

The proposed home would be 28 feet in height at the highest point; homes on Marlesta Road were on higher elevations and higher than the Nob Hill Avenue lot; the proposed home would be two stories in height, below the City's height requirement; in order to minimize the amount of grading on the steep property, the applicant had proposed a design to accommodate the desired living space; and the applicant had limited the massing with varied rooflines from the first to second floors.

Mr. Rhodes affirmed that in 2010 the prior application had elicited concerns from neighbors as to building height and blocking views. He also spoke to the size of the deck that would protrude out just above the garage. Materials just presented to the Planning Commission included aerial information offering an idea of the deck size, although views from the deck had not been identified.

Mr. Rhodes acknowledged Planning Commission concerns with the accuracy of the site plan; clarified that street elevations from the Nob Hill Avenue elevation had not been submitted by the applicant; and noted that if a shadow study was prepared and verified that the neighbor's solar panels would be affected, the

application would have to return to the Planning Commission. Detailed precise colors and materials had also not been reflected on the plans.

Mr. Rhodes also responded to concerns with the proximity of existing PG&E transmission lines near the subject property, and explained that the City could not regulate nor had the authority to require PG&E to underground or move the existing transmission lines. The issue of radio frequency (RF) emissions was mentioned but staff mentioned it should be addressed with the Public Utilities Commission (PUC), although it may be possible for the City could consider sending a letter to the PUC to outline the concerns with the transmission lines, or request that PG&E study the issue.

#### PUBLIC HEARING OPENED

JOHN W. SMITH, 1801 Blossomwood Lane, Tracy, the applicant, stated he had hoped to place the second story sections of the two homes (1431 and 1409 Nob Hill Avenue) together, although the addresses had changed and the homes were opposite from one another making the home under discussion appear to be out of perspective. He described the design and explained that the garage and deck were in the front portion of the lot and the second floor would become the first floor as it moved back on the lot, stepping up the grade. He identified the highest point of the home at 27 feet.

Mr. Rhodes clarified that the maximum height standard pursuant to the Zoning Code applied to the finished grade.

Mr. Smith clarified that the decks for 1431 and 1409 Nob Hill Avenue were on the same side of the property, with the two garages together.

The Planning Commission and staff pointed out the intent as described by the applicant had not been reflected on the plans, and that the plans showed that the two decks would view into neighbors' yards.

Mr. Smith acknowledged the higher elevation of the two homes would be adjacent to property at 1457 Nob Hill Avenue. He did not oppose reversing the plan for the home to help mitigate privacy issues, and expressed the willingness to prepare a drawing to reflect that change and to identify the relationship of the two homes to each other and existing homes. As to whether there had been any consideration to stagger the two homes and conduct some grading, there was a natural staggering occurring and the driveways were opposite one another, which would push one of the homes back further than the other. One of the homes would have a front porch at a 20-foot setback while the other would be approximately 10 feet further back due to the angle of the property.

Mr. Smith also provided a color sample for the home at 1431 Nob Hill Avenue, with

Mr. Rhodes clarifying the proposed use of a gray tile roof, with a bright sky blue color for the home, with a navy darker blue trim and a Royal blue door.

GERALD GRZECHOWIAK, 1450 Marlesta Road, Pinole, understood the building height had been measured from the existing grade and that the home was higher than it appeared from the street level. He had been a concerned neighbor in 2010 when a prior proposal had been approved by the Planning Commission, at which time he had concerns with the building height and compatibility with the neighborhood. He requested clarification whether the high and low points of the two homes would come together.

Mr. Grzechowiak also requested more information regarding potential impacts regarding the existing PG&E transmission lines. He affirmed, when asked, that he currently enjoyed a view of the Bay although it had been obscured a bit by overgrown vegetation. He expressed the willingness to allow staff to take photographs from his property, as had been allowed in 2010, and provided his contact information on the speaker card.

#### PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED

Mr. Rhodes expressed the willingness to discuss the proposed colors with the applicant in that the City typically desired more contrast.

The Planning Commission discussed the application and offered recommendations and/or direction to staff and the applicant, which Mr. Rhodes summarized, as follows:

- Provide a shadow study to address the potential impacts to the existing solar panels at 1457 Nob Hill Avenue;
- Provide a streetscape view to show how the two proposed homes would relate to the closest existing homes on Nob Hill Avenue;
- Provide a cross section view that includes the homes on Marlesta Road to determine the elevations and the potential impacts on views from the homes on Marlesta Road; and
- Provide a footprint of the existing home adjacent to the site plan for 1431
   Nob Hill Avenue to view the location of the balcony in relation to the existing home base from both a bird's eye view and a streetscape view perspective.

**MOTION** to continue to DR 16-20, Sitaula Single Family Residence, 1431 Nob Hill Avenue, to a future date to be determined, with the applicant to provide more information as discussed.

MOTION: Thompson SECONDED: Martinez-Rubin APPROVED: 6-0-1

2. Design Review 16-19: Bashyal Single Family Residence

**Request:** Consideration of a design review request to develop an

approximately 2,977 square foot residence.

**ABSENT: Hartley** 

**Applicant**: John W. Smith

1801 Blossomwood Lane

Tracy, CA 95376

Location: 1409 Nob Hill Avenue, APN 402-090-011

**Project Staff:** Winston Rhodes, Planning Manager

Planning Manager Rhodes presented the staff report dated October 24, 2016, advised that the architecture and building size was similar to the home at 1431 Nob Hill Avenue, with identical conditions with the exception of Condition 18, which required the applicant to add lap siding around the side elevations to reach the side yard wooden fence; a condition to install all wood trim rather than a combination of stucco and wood trim wrapping the windows to differentiate the home from the home at 1431 Nob Hill Avenue; and as part of Condition 18(d) the applicant to modify the floor plans to reflect the window openings as shown on the second story elevations.

Responding to the Commission, Mr. Rhodes clarified that the two applications were two separate applications, with slightly varied designs, although the property owner was the same. A preliminary landscape plan had been provided, and there would be some lighting details that staff would see at the staff level; however, more detail on the lighting fixtures would be appropriate. He reiterated that staff would discuss the building colors with the applicant to ensure the colors that had been proposed would relate to the different design elements on the building elevation.

Mr. Rhodes also confirmed that the Planning Commission had requested a streetscape view and bird's eye view to show how the two proposed homes related to the closest existing homes on Nob Hill Avenue, including the property at 1457 Nob Hill Avenue; clarified a Commission request for more information included a site plan for the proposed dwelling units relative to the properties at 1457 Nob Hill Avenue, 1414 and 1450 Marlesta Road; the intent was for two streetscape views, one from Nob Hill Avenue towards Marlesta Road and the other from Marlesta Road towards Nob Hill Avenue; with the site plan view to include the proposed dwelling units relative to the properties at 1457 Nob Hill Avenue, and 1414 and 1450 Marlesta Road.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED

2.4

F.

 There were no comments from the public.

### PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED

The Planning Commission discussed the application and offered the following recommendations and/or direction to staff and the applicant:

- Found the design for the property at 1409 Nob Hill Avenue had better accommodated the applicant's and neighbors' views, but found the building colors had little contrast in comparison to neighbors' homes which were lighter and more pastel in color. (Wong)
- Suggested the comments for 1431 Nob Hill Avenue applied to 1409 Nob Hill Avenue; acknowledged Mr. Grzechowiak's concerns with respect to view impacts; found there were more issues with the property at 1431 Nob Hill Avenue than 1409 Nob Hill Avenue in terms of privacy and impacts to the solar panels of the property at 1457 Nob Hill Avenue; and there was a need to better understand the building orientation. Recommended the item also be continued with more information provided for both properties, as discussed. (Kurrent)

**MOTION** to continue Design Review 16-19, Bashyal Single Family Residence at 1409 Nob Hill Avenue, to a future date to be determined, with the applicant to provide more information as discussed.

MOTION: Thompson SECONDED: Brooks APPROVED: 6-0-1
ABSENT: Hartley

OLD BUSINESS: None

G. <u>NEW BUSINESS</u>: None

## H. <u>CITY PLANNER'S / COMMISSIONERS' REPORT</u>:

Mr. Rhodes reported on a request to remove three redwood trees from property at Shamus Court that were pushing up concrete and damaging a garage, and which had been approved administratively. He reminded Planning Commissioners of the Planning Commission training at Sonoma State University scheduled for December 3, 2016, and asked Commissioners to contact him via e-mail to allow staff to make the necessary arrangements. He confirmed that another training opportunity would be possible in the spring in Southern California.

Mr. Rhodes also reported an application had been received for the Gateway

Shopping Center to modify a previously approved medical office building now proposed for a dialysis clinic, to be brought to the Planning Commission in the future for a recommendation to the City Council. As part of the approval of the Gateway Shopping Center, a final landscape plan was to be submitted for the area owned by the Contra Costa County Flood Control between private property and the Pinole Creek trail. The City was in discussions with the applicant, and the County Flood Control District prior to submitting the plan to the Planning Commission and the City Council. Also, a ribbon cutting ceremony for the fish passage project at Pinole Creek had been held the morning of October 24, 2016. with many dignitaries and local officials present. He also updated the Commission on the status of tenants in the Gateway Shopping Center; Starbucks was waiting on PG&E to turn on the power; work was progressing on Sprouts; several of the retail tenants were close to opening; and the sidewalk width in the project would be measured to ensure Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliance.

15 16 17

## I. <u>COMMUNICATIONS</u>: None

18 19

# J. <u>NEXT MEETING</u>:

2021

The next meeting of the Planning Commission will be a Regular Meeting to be held on Monday, November 21, 2016 at 7:00 P.M.

222324

K. ADJOURNMENT: 9:30 P.M

2526

Transcribed by:

2728

Anita L. Tucci-Smith
Transcriber

31